PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 0 4 NOV 2005

PCT

					WIPO	PCT
Applican R 4392	nt's or agent's file 23	reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2004/008580 30.07.2004			(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month 11.08.2003	h/year)	
1	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C12N15/82					
1	Applicant GREENOVATION BIOTECH GMBH et al.					
1. Th	nis report is the uthority under	international pre Article 35 and trai	liminary examination rensmitted to the applican	eport, established by this nt according to Article 36.	International Prelimina	ry Examining
2. Th	nis REPORT c	onsists of a total o	of 6 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.		
3. Th	nis report is als	o accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:		
a.	☐ sent to th	e applicant and to	o the International Bure	eau) a total of sheets, as	follows:	
	and/c	ts of the descripti or sheets containi inistrative Instruct	ng rectifications authori	ings which have been am ized by this Authority (see	ended and are the base Rule 70.16 and Section	sis of this report on 607 of the
	beyo	ts which supersed nd the disclosure demental Box.	de earlier sheets, but w in the international app	hich this Authority consid Dication as filed, as indica	lers contain an amend ated in item 4 of Box N	ment that goes o. I and the
b.	sequence	isting and/or tab	les related thereto, in c	ndicate type and number computer readable form o 2 of the Administrative In	nly, as indicated in the) , containing a Supplemental
4. Th	nis report conta	ains indications re	lating to the following it	tems:		
⋈	Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion			
⊠	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive st	tep and industrial appli	cability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention			-
⊠	Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2 ations and explanations	2) with regard to novelty, is supporting such statement 1. **Table 1. **Tab	inventive step or indust ent	trial
	Box No. VI	Certain docume				
	Box No. VII		in the international app			
×	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application		
Date of si	ubmission of the	demand		Date of completion of this	report	
11.03.2	11.03.2005			03.11.2005		
	ry examining au	-	al	Authorized Officer		offiches Pitemen
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich				Ury, A		
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			1			
	Fax: +49 6	9 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89 239	99-8411	Partie satio.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008580

_						
_	Box No. I Basis of the report					
1.	. With regard to the language, thi filed, unless otherwise indicated	ith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	which is the language of a t	slations from the original language into the following language , ranslation furnished for the purposes of:				
	☐ international search (und☐ publication of the international preliminary	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Itional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	Description, Pages					
	1-45	as originally filed				
	Sequence listings part of the description, Pages					
	1-31	as originally filed				
	Claims, Numbers					
	1-22	as originally filed				
	Drawings, Sheets					
	1/19-19/19	as originally filed				
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.	☐ The amendments have resu	Ited in the cancellation of:				
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐					
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (spe	cify):				
	☐ any table(s) related to see	quence listing (specify):				
1.	Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the .				
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.	·				
	\Box the drawings, sheets/figs					
	☐ the sequence listing <i>(spe</i> dical formula of the sequence of					
	* If item 4 applies, so	me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008580

_	Box	k No. II Priority				
1.		prescribed time limit the re-	quested: cation wh	ose priority	ty had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)). Deriority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).	
2.		This report has been estableen found invalid (Rule 6 above is considered to be	4.1). Thus	for the pu	ty had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has rposes of this report, the international filing date indicated	
з.	Add	litional observations, if nece	ssary:			
	see	separate sheet				
		x No. V Reasoned states dicability; citations and ex			35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ting such statement	
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3-22 1-2	
	Inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3-22 1-2	
	ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-22	
2.	Cita	ations and explanations (Ru	le 70.7):			
	see	e separate sheet				

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Ļ

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008580

_	Su	pple	emental Box relating to Sequence Listing					
C	nti	nua	tion of Box I, item 2:					
1.	Wi ne	ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this report has been established on the basis of:						
	a. 1	a. type of material:						
		Ø	a sequence listing					
			table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:								
		×	in written format					
		×	in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing:			of filing/furnishing:					
		×	contained in the international application as filed					
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form					
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination					
			received by this Authority as an amendment on					
2.		the ac	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating ereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or iditional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, appropriate, were furnished.					
з.	Ad	ditio	nal observations, if necessary:					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

ı

Reference is made to the following documents: D1-D5 as cited in the ISR.

The present application discloses moss derived expression regions or MEPR (promoters) allowing expression and production of peptides in genetically modified mosses host cells.

The prior art (see passages cited for D4 and D5) mentions the existence of <u>moss</u> <u>promoters</u>. 5' regions wherein sequences resembling to prokaryotic or eucaryotic promoter consensus sequences have been identified (see e.g. Fig.2 of D5). However, said 5' regions are merely putative promoters because no functional analysis has been performed on these regions and it remains unknown and questionable (in view of their short length) whether said 5' sequences identified in these prior art documents indeed function as promoters.

Additionnally no cited document render obvious the <u>specific</u> MEPR sequences of the application.

Therefore, novelty, inventive step and unity of invention can be acknowledged for the SEQ ID of the application provided of course that said SEQ ID do indeed have the function of MEPR. In this respect the claims should be limited to the sequences for which a promoting activity has been demonstrated in the application. For instance all the SEQ ID corresponding to 3' regions do not have such an activity and should be deleted from the claims (e.g. SEQ ID NO:2, 4, 6, 8 etc...see page 44-45 of application). Please note that whether these 3' regions may or may not be regarded as expression regions is irrelevant since what is presently requested is a demonstration of an expression promoting activity.

Concerning the non-acceptable subject-matter (claims 1 and 2) the following objections are raised.

- Lack of technical feature (i.e. sequences). Claims 1-2 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result. It <u>is</u> clear from the description

that the features of the SEQ ID are essential to the definition of the invention. Since claim 1-2 do not contain these features they do not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

- Lack of novelty because said region are not defined by technical features and have no distinguishing technical feature over the putative promoter region identified in D5.
- Lack of inventive step: Due to the absence of technical features and considering the basic knowledge of the skilled person combined with for instance D1-D5 the subject-matter of these claims merely consists of a paraphrase of the technical problem (i.e. to provide suitable moss derived expression promoting means). There is no inventivity in formulating the problem to be solved as a solution. Indeed D1, D2 and D3, for instance, disclose the cDNA corresponding to moss genes. The mRNAs from which these cDNAs are derived were expressed via promoter sequences or promoting regions. It is a general rule for biological organisms that promoter regions are involved in the expression of mRNAs.

Of course all the other claims, except claim 3, are also not acceptable as long as they refer back to claims 1 and 2.